



World Class Standards

# The interface between Standards and IPRs

## The ETSI IPR Policy

The Standards Edge Conference: 'Building Economic  
Strength and Social Benefit', Beijing, 17-18 April 2007

**Dr. Michael Fröhlich**  
**ETSI Legal Adviser**

Copyright © ETSI 2007. All rights reserved.



# The context

## THE CONTEXT

- ❑ **Growing importance of standardisation**
- ❑ **Inherent tense relationship between IPRs and Standards:**
  - **IPRs and Standards are diametrically opposed**
    - ↪ **IPRs destined for private exclusive use ./ Standards intended for free, collective use**
  - **This tension is leading to conflicts**
    - ↪ **whenever the technical content of a standard falls within the scope of a patent as defined by its claims, the patentee has the potential to block the implementation of the standard**



**World Class Standards**

# **What ETSI is doing**

## PURPOSE OF ETSI'S IPR POLICY

- ❑ Providing transparent rules
- ❑ Facilitating standards making process within ETSI by fairly balancing all the interests involved:
  - IPR owner: right to fully benefit from its IPR
  - third parties: rights to make and sell interoperating products
  - public use: not to lock users into specific technology platforms
  - SDO: avoid wasting effort on the elaboration of a Deliverable which could subsequently be blocked by an essential IPR

# MAIN CHARACTERISTICS

- ❑ **Early identification of essential IPRs**
- ❑ **Full respect of rights of the IPR holder → consent of Members and third parties needed to use an IPR in a standard**
  - ↳ **ETSI ensuring beforehand availability of licences by requesting a irrevocable undertaking to grant licences under fair reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms and conditions for essential IPRs**
- ❑ **No involvement of ETSI in any legal and commercial discussion on IPR matters**
  - **Terms and conditions of license to be determined by the parties of the agreement**

## EARLY DISCLOSURE

- **Importance of obtaining knowledge of IPR-landscape before lock-in of standard:**
  - Increasing transparency
  - Ability to take informed decisions in standards making process
  - Being in a position to clarify the question of availability of licences under FRAND conditions
  
- ↪ **Strong obligation to inform ETSI on essential IPRs in a timely fashion**
  - But, no obligation on Members to conduct IPR searches
  - No patent landscaping by ETSI
  
- **Public visibility of declared essential IPRs through the ETSI IPR Database**
  - Users/Implementers of Standards can easily access information on essential IPRs at <http://webapp.etsi.org/IPR/home.asp>

## FRAND COMMITMENT

- ❑ **Importance for ETSI: avoiding blocking of standard following a refusal to license after creation of standard**
- ❑ **Requesting the IPR owner to give an irrevocable undertaking to grant licences under fair reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms and conditions**
  - **Free choice of IPR owner to refuse inclusion of its IPR in a standard by refusing to give the requested undertaking**
  - **In case of refusal a transparent and refined procedure is initiated**
    - ↳ Differencing between prior and after publication, members and third parties + taking into availability of alternative technology
- ❑ **Determination of terms and conditions of license agreement up to the parties**
  - **License agreement is a mutual agreement between licensor and licensee**
  - **discussion of commercial issues shall not take place within ETSI**

## RECENT DISCUSSIONS IN ETSI

- ❑ **Concern that FRAND-commitment might be insufficient in some few particular cases**
  - ↳ **call for more predictability**
  
- ❑ **Reasons:**
  - **Proliferation of essential patents and patent owners → many contributions implies many rewards (17.500 IPR-Declarations from 127 companies in ETSI IPR Database: ~4.700 for GSM, ~7.700 for UMTS and ~3.500 for 3GPP).**
  - **Many different complementary technologies in one standard → Cumulative fees for multiple technologies**
  - **Higher functionality is increasing IPR volumes.**

## RECENT DISCUSSIONS IN ETSI

- **November 2005: ETSI GA established ad hoc group to review the ETSI IPR policy (IPR R AHG)**
  - **One preparatory meeting and six meetings (80-100 participants and 15-25 documents per meeting)**
  - **All decisions made by consensus**
  - **16 recommendations unanimously endorsed by ETSI GA (Nov. 2006)**
  - **Recommendation now already implemented in ETSI Directives**

## TRANSPARENCY OF LICENSING TERMS

- What ex ante disclosure of licensing terms is:
  - mechanism about submitting anticipated licensing terms for a given standard draft before the contribution is locked-in as a standard
  - fostering competition on the basis of technology and price before the standard is approved
  - can bring pro-competitive benefits subject to appropriate safeguards
    - Para. 225 of the EC Guidelines on TTBE
    - EC Press Release, 12 December 2005

# TRANSPARENCY OF LICENSING TERMS

- What ex ante disclosure of licensing terms is not:
  - 'Disclosure of IPRs' = notification to ETSI of essential IPRs
  - 'Licensing declaration' = notification to ETSI of intent to grant licenses on FRAND terms (FRAND-commitment)
  - Ex ante collective royalty cap = fixing in advance a cap shared between licensors according to their strict mathematical proportion of essential patents
    - ↪ EU Commission: not acceptable (Letter to ETSI 21 June 2006)

## TRANSPARENCY OF LICENSING TERMS

### □ How ex ante is working in ETSI:

- It is fully voluntary, i.e. not mandatory as in VITA
  - ↳ lack of disclosure is not creating any implication
- No detailed licensing terms available from ETSI → ETSI may act only as a depository.
- Disclosed terms do not represent ETSI's interpretation of FRAND
- ETSI not responsible for determining whether the licensing terms disclosed ex ante are FRAND.
- No discussion/negotiation of specific licensing terms within ETSI.

⇒ Increasing predictability by using market mechanisms



# Conclusion

# CONCLUSIONS

- **IPR Policy is one of the key elements for the success of ETSI's globally-applicable standards**
  
- ⇒ **Allowing Members to fully reserve their IPRs was beneficial to the drafting of excellent and high-quality standards**
  - **incentive for high technology companies to participate in the standardization process**
  
- ⇒ **Recent developments on ex ante disclosure of licensing terms is increasing transparency without bringing the fair balancing of interests out of equilibrium**



World Class Standards

Thank you for listening

The Standards Edge Conference: 'Building Economic Strength and Social Benefit', Beijing, 17-18 April 2007

Dr. Michael Fröhlich, D.E.A  
ETSI Legal Adviser  
[legal@etsi.org](mailto:legal@etsi.org)  
+33 (0)4 92 94 42 60